



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/820,341

04/07/2004

Edwin Riley Cooper

5011-1005

8149

73552

7590

08/27/2009

Stolowitz Ford Cowger LLP
621 SW Morrison St
Suite 600
Portland, OR 97205

EXAMINER

ADESANYA, OLUJIMI A

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2626

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

08/27/2009

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/820,341	Applicant(s) COOPER ET AL.	
	Examiner OLUJIMI A. ADESANYA	Art Unit 2626	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) OLUJIMI A. ADESANYA. (3) Earl T. Cohen (Applicant's rep.).

(2) _____. (4) Steve Ford (Applicant's rep.).

Date of Interview: 24 August 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 33.

Identification of prior art discussed: Mekikian (WO/2001/0188602).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicants discussed examiner's rejection of claim 33, and argued that Mekikian does not read on the limitations of the claim based on the order in which the limitations were performed. Examiner argued that Mekikian taught the limitations of the claim, including analyzing the user queries, performing the steps in between and finally displaying the information obtained, as disclosed in fig 3 and the cited portions of Mekikian in the last Office Action.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

	/Richemond Dorvil/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2626
--	--